

**RE: Consultation with NCEA**

**Bob Benson** to: Brattin, Bill, David Berry

09/11/2012 01:10 PM

From: Bob Benson/R8/USEPA/US

To: "Brattin, Bill" <brattin@srcinc.com>, David Berry/R8/USEPA/US

---

We both think the write-up needs a brief explanation as to why we advocating option 2a for trionizing and option 3 for background. Clarify that the background a and b terms are independent from the trionizing a and b terms because the background areas only worked with already expanded vermiculite or no product was present (eg., office workers). Instead of "combine all non-trionizing data" perhaps say "combine all data from background departments."

Then we are good to send to NCEA and request a review and decision. As we discussed, I will send to Tom Bateson when you have a final version. We need to give NCEA time to review and cogitate. Thursday might be too soon for NCEA. Do you and UC have any constraints on Thursday? I am out of the office on Friday. I can do a call next Monday, Tuesday, or Wednesday (17, 18, 19).

"Brattin, Bill" ---09/11/2012 12:49:33 PM---Yes. I just received the weighted fit and am plotting it up now.

From: "Brattin, Bill" <brattin@srcinc.com>

To: Bob Benson/R8/USEPA/US@EPA,

Date: 09/11/2012 12:49 PM

Subject: RE: Consultation with NCEA

---

Yes.

I just received the weighted fit and am plotting it up now.

Any comments from David?

\*\*\*\*\*

Bill Brattin

SRC, Inc.

999 18th Street Suite 1150

Denver CO 80202

Phone: 303-357-3121

Fax: 303-292-4755

e-mail: [brattin@srcinc.com](mailto:brattin@srcinc.com)

**From:** Bob Benson [mailto:Benson.Bob@epamail.epa.gov]

**Sent:** Tuesday, September 11, 2012 12:40 PM

**To:** Brattin, Bill

**Subject:** RE: Consultation with NCEA

I assume from your response that the plot from your message of 09/06 was un-weighted fit. Correct?

▼ "Brattin, Bill" ---09/11/2012 12:40:03 PM---Don't have it yet...I will ask Tim for status on that.

\*\*\*\*\*

From: "Brattin, Bill" <[brattin@srcinc.com](mailto:brattin@srcinc.com)>

To: Bob Benson/R8/USEPA/US@EPA,

Date: 09/11/2012 12:40 PM  
Subject: RE: Consultation with NCEA

---

Don't have it yet...I will ask Tim for status on that.

\*\*\*\*\*

Bill Brattin  
SRC, Inc.  
999 18th Street Suite 1150  
Denver CO 80202  
Phone: 303-357-3121  
Fax: 303-292-4755  
e-mail: [brattin@srcinc.com](mailto:brattin@srcinc.com)

**From:** Bob Benson [<mailto:Benson.Bob@epamail.epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 11, 2012 12:21 PM  
**To:** Brattin, Bill  
**Cc:** David Berry  
**Subject:** Re: Consultation with NCEA

I think it would be helpful to provide the plot for the background fitting.

▼ "Brattin, Bill" ---09/11/2012 08:38:18 AM---Attached is a revised memo on options we have considered for fitting the IH data to create the JEM.

From: "Brattin, Bill" <[brattin@srcinc.com](mailto:brattin@srcinc.com)>  
To: Tim Hilbert <[HILBERTJ@UCMAIL.UC.EDU](mailto:HILBERTJ@UCMAIL.UC.EDU)>, Bob Benson/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, David Berry/R8/USEPA/US@EPA,  
Date: 09/11/2012 08:38 AM  
Subject: Consultation with NCEA

---

Attached is a revised memo on options we have considered for fitting the IH data to create the JEM.

For simplicity, I have omitted any discussion of un-weighted fitting.

Please review and provide comments ASAP so we can sent to NCEA and have a consultation.

We must get past this stage to continue work.

\*\*\*\*\*

Bill Brattin  
SRC, Inc.  
999 18th Street Suite 1150  
Denver CO 80202  
Phone: 303-357-3121

Fax: 303-292-4755

e-mail: brattin@srcinc.com<<mailto:brattin@syrres.com>>

[attachment "winmail.dat" deleted by Bob Benson/R8/USEPA/US] [attachment "Figure 1.pdf" deleted by Bob Benson/R8/USEPA/US] [attachment "Figure 2.pdf" deleted by Bob Benson/R8/USEPA/US] [attachment "Figure 3.pdf" deleted by Bob Benson/R8/USEPA/US] [attachment "Figure 4.pdf" deleted by Bob Benson/R8/USEPA/US] [attachment "Figure 5.pdf" deleted by Bob Benson/R8/USEPA/US] [attachment "Figure 6.pdf" deleted by Bob Benson/R8/USEPA/US] [attachment "Fitting Options v2.docx" deleted by Bob Benson/R8/USEPA/US]